

Solutions

Closed book, closed notes, calculators OK. The Signal Processing problem consists of two parts, each comprising multiple questions, points as marked (point sum = 40 = perfect score); nominal duration = 1 hour.

**Part A: Filtering, (down-)sampling, and the roots of unity [sum of points = 25]**

- **[3 points]** For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , define  $s(N) := 1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{N-1}$ . Express  $s(N+1)$  as a function of  $s(N)$  in two different ways, and use the resulting expressions to derive a closed-form expression for  $s(N)$ .

$$s(N+1) = s(N) + a^N = 1 + as(N) \Rightarrow (1-a)s(N) = 1 - a^N \Rightarrow s(N) = \frac{1 - a^N}{1 - a}.$$

- **[5 points]** Consider a discrete-time filter with impulse response

$$h(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq k \leq N-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $k$  is the discrete time variable, and  $N$  is a non-negative integer constant (the length of the impulse response). Compute the discrete-time Fourier transform  $H(e^{j\omega}) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} h(k)e^{-j\omega k}$ .

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-j\omega k} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (e^{-j\omega})^k = \frac{1 - e^{-j\omega N}}{1 - e^{-j\omega}}.$$

- **[5 points]** Suppose that a discrete-time signal  $x(k)$  is input to the above filter, and the output  $y(k) = 0$ ,  $\forall k$ . What can you say about (i.e., can you *characterize*) the input signal  $x(k)$  in this case? Be as specific as you can.

Notice that  $H(e^{j\omega})$  is zero at all  $\omega$  for which  $e^{-j\omega N} = 1$  (and  $e^{-j\omega} \neq 1$ ), i.e., at  $\omega N = \ell 2\pi \Leftrightarrow \omega = \frac{2\pi}{N}\ell$ ,  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$  ( $\pm$  integer multiples of  $2\pi$ ). At  $\omega = 0$ , on the other hand,  $H(e^{j0}) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-j0} = N$ . Thus the filter suppresses all frequency content at  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{N}\ell$ ,  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$  and passes all other frequencies. When  $y(k) = 0$ ,  $\forall k$ , what you can say is that  $x(k)$  is confined to have content only at  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{N}\ell$ ,  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ . In particular,  $x(k)$  need not be the all-zero signal.

- **[5 points]** Now assume that the discrete-time Fourier transform  $X(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x(k)e^{-j\omega k}$  of  $x(k)$  satisfies  $X(e^{j\omega}) = 0$ ,  $\forall |\omega| > \omega_c$ . Find a condition on  $\omega_c$  that allows recovery of the input signal  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  from the output signal  $\{y(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ .

The first zero of  $H(e^{j\omega})$  occurs at frequency  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{N}$ , so if  $\omega_c < \frac{2\pi}{N}$ , then recovery of the input signal is theoretically possible via ‘inverse filtering’ by  $\frac{1}{H(e^{j\omega})}$  over the sub-band of interest.

- [2 points] Suppose you down-sample the filter's output  $\{y(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  by a factor of  $N$ , and let  $\{v(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  with  $v(k) := y(Nk), \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , be the down-sampled signal. Under what condition can you recover the signal  $\{y(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  from the signal  $\{v(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ ? (no need to prove the (down-)sampling theorem here, simply state the condition).

The condition is that  $\{y(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  should be bandlimited to within  $\omega_c < \frac{\pi}{N}$ . This will be true if and only if  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is bandlimited to within  $\omega_c < \frac{\pi}{N}$ .

- [5 points] Write out an expression for  $v(k)$  as a function of the filter's *input* signal. Combining the above results, state a condition under which you can exactly recover the filter's input signal  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ , from the down-sampled version  $\{v(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  of the filter's output signal. Comment on the result.

$v(k) = \sum_{m=1}^N x((k-1)N + m)$ . The condition is simply that  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  should be bandlimited to within  $\omega_c < \frac{\pi}{N}$ . Under this condition,  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  can be *perfectly recovered from its partial non-overlapping sums*. This speaks for the 'severity' of the bandlimited assumption, which is often taken 'lightly'.

**Part B: Phase retrieval? [sum of points = 15]**

- [5 points] Show by simple counter-example that it is generally impossible to recover a discrete-time signal  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  from only the magnitude  $|X(e^{j\omega})|$  of its discrete-time Fourier transform  $X(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} x(k)e^{-j\omega k}$ . I.e., show that we need the phase of  $X(e^{j\omega})$  too,  $|X(e^{j\omega})|$  is not enough.

A simple counter-example is that  $x(k)$  and  $x(k - \ell)$  have the same Fourier transform magnitude, so you cannot recover the ‘correct’ shift. E.g.,  $\delta(k) \leftrightarrow 1$  and  $\delta(k - \ell) \leftrightarrow e^{-j\omega\ell}$ .

- [10 points] Describe how you can construct a non-trivial class of signals  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  for which perfect recovery of  $\{x(k)\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is possible from  $|X(e^{j\omega})|$  only. Hint: How can you generate  $X(e^{j\omega}) \geq 0$  (non-negative real)  $\forall \omega$ ?

Let  $x(k)$  be the convolution of  $h(k)$  and  $g(k) := h^*(-k)$ , where  $*$  stands for complex conjugate. Then

$$G(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h^*(-k)e^{-j\omega k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h^*(k)e^{j\omega k} = \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k)e^{-j\omega k} \right)^* = H^*(e^{j\omega}),$$

and since convolution in time corresponds to multiplication in frequency,

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = H(e^{j\omega})G(e^{j\omega}) = H(e^{j\omega})H^*(e^{j\omega}) = |H(e^{j\omega})|^2 \geq 0, \forall \omega.$$

So we only need to take the inverse Fourier transform of  $|X(e^{j\omega})|$ , which is equal to the inverse Fourier transform of  $X(e^{j\omega})$ .

Remark: This is in fact the only way to generate  $X(e^{j\omega}) \geq 0$  (non-negative real)  $\forall \omega$ . The only if part is the Fejér-Riesz spectral factorization theorem, but I don’t ask you to prove this here.